

APP1253-US

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

OF

JOSEPH C. LIBERTI, JR., SHIMON MOSHAVI,
AND PAUL G. ZABLOCKY

FOR

COMBINED ADAPTIVE SPATIO-TEMPORAL PROCESSING
AND MULTI-USER DETECTION
FOR CDMA WIRELESS SYSTEMS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/190,803 filed March 21, 2000.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to wireless communication networks and more specifically to CDMA wireless systems subject to co-channel interference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) networks are widely deployed throughout the world. The current implementations of CDMA typically follow the IS-95 industry standards and are referred to as IS-95 wireless systems. With the advent of enhancements to CDMA technology such as third generation CDMA, CDMA2000 and W-CDMA, the deployment of CDMA is expected to increase dramatically.

A typical CDMA system 100 is shown in Figure 1. It is divided into a plurality of cells 121. Each cell contains a fixed base station 103. Each base station 103 is connected to a centralized switch or mobile switching center 109 that provides switching capabilities and acts as a gateway to wired networks such as the public switched telephone network (PSTN), the Internet, and other public and private data communications networks. As is known, the base station 103 includes a transmitter 105 and a receiver 107 for communicating with the mobile customers or users.

On the customer side, users connect to the wireless network through wireless mobile nodes 101 that can act as transmitters and receivers. The mobile nodes 101 communicate with the base stations 103 over wireless communications links. The link from a base station transmitter 105 to a mobile node receiver is the forward link 115 (or downlink). The link from the mobile node transmitter to a base station receiver 107 is referred to as the reverse link 113 (or uplink).

One advantage of CDMA over other wireless access systems is that all users share the same spectrum at the same time. However, the fact that multiple users occupy the same bandwidth limits performance and capacity. Because the conventional matched filter receiver 107 does an imperfect job of removing signals from these users, each user in a CDMA system degrades the performance of every other user; this effect is called multiple access interference or MAI. An increase in interference between users can lower the ability

of a wireless provider to reuse frequencies, resulting in a reduction of system capacity. Because of the tremendous demand for wireless voice and data services and increased competition between service providers, CDMA network providers cannot afford such a reduction in system capacity. Therefore, wireless providers are continually striving to maximize system capacity, which in turn, requires limiting interference.

In CDMA wireless systems, power control is used to control the level of MAI at the base station. By adjusting every user's power so that all user transmissions arrive at the base station at approximately the same level, the base station receiver for each user sees the same amount of MAI, and the link quality is roughly the same for each user. If power control was not implemented, then a single user close to the base station could prevent the conventional CDMA receiver for other users from receiving a usable signal, resulting in the so-called near-far problem.

Power control works reasonably well for currently deployed CDMA wireless systems although limitations in the speed of power control are a constant engineering concern and limit capacity and link quality. However, there are frequently situations where it is desirable to deploy auxiliary receivers that are not the target of mobile station power control. Auxiliary receivers can be used to monitor the health of a CDMA wireless system or assist in geolocation. These auxiliary receivers may even be used by law enforcement and military operators for non-cooperative monitoring of a CDMA system for drug-interdiction, counter-terrorism and international intelligence gathering. In these cases, the auxiliary receiver must contend with a wide range of received power levels. Often the auxiliary receiver may need to receive a signal from a mobile station whose received power level is far below (30dB or more) below the strongest arriving signal.

A need therefore exists for enabling a user in a CDMA system to receive user signals in the presence of interference from other users when the power level of all co-channel signals is not adjusted to be substantially the same.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with an aspect of our invention, we combine concepts from space-time adaptive processing (STAP), interference cancellation, and multi-user detection (MUD) in multiple embodiments that are able to extract low-level CDMA signals in dense multi-user environments. The performance of these embodiments depends on the accuracy of the signal reconstruction and cancellation. This is particularly crucial if there is a wide range in received power (e.g., from lack of power control). For example, if there is an interfering

signal that is 30 dB stronger than the signal we wish to receive and this signal is cancelled with 90% accuracy (meaning that 90% of the interfering signal power is canceled), then the residual portion is still 20 dB above the desired signal. Thus, in addition to symbol detection accuracy, channel estimation accuracy becomes very important in reducing the cancellation residuals.

Our invention utilizes adaptive temporal reconstruction filter (ATRF) techniques for reconstructing the signal interference. This novel approach permits very accurate channel estimation and signal cancellation. Through our novel use of ATRF, individual multipath components do not need to be tracked and separately estimated. The ATRF recreates the multipath channel structure with accurate amplitude and phase estimates for each component. The use of cost estimation techniques within the ATRF further minimizes cancellation residuals. In addition, cancellation timing errors are mitigated because the filter weights do not need to be exactly centered around the main multipath peak in order to solve for them accurately.

There has been extensive work on combined successive interference cancellation and multi-user detection systems. Much of this work is focused on simple channel estimation techniques, such as averaging the outputs of the conventional detector's correlators in order to estimate the amplitude and phase of signals to cancel. The reasons for this are that this approach is simple to describe, simulate and implement and the focus is most often on applications where power control is available to the receiver. Thus, small inaccuracies in cancellation do not significantly affect the performance. Also, there are only a limited number of multipath components which are strong enough to be worth tracking and canceling.

There has also been some work on channel estimation for MUD with the more theoretical motivation of determining the limits of estimation accuracy. These works have often focused on complex maximum likelihood approaches. Because our invention applies successive interference cancellation to complex, non-discrete multipath channels encountered in the real world, our invention takes transmit filtering into account and compensates for timing errors. Our approach minimizes residuals and estimates all multipath components without the need to track them individually.

Through the addition of STAP, the receiver is able to spatially separate the signals using array (smart antenna) receiver technology. This allows the STAP receiver to place spatial beam pattern nulls on strong interferers. In addition, the STAP receiver combines multipath energy, including both the resolvable multipath that is captured by the rake receiver, as well

as unresolved multipath that the rake receiver cannot effectively exploit. We combine these techniques with MUD approaches, where the receiver jointly operates on the received waveform to extract signals for all users simultaneously. By carefully estimating higher level signals and canceling them from the array data for the STAP receivers for lower-level
 5 signals, the combined STAP-MUD approach is much more effective than either approach implemented individually.

In multi-user detection (MUD), code, timing and possibly channel information associated with multiple users are jointly used to better detect each individual user. Thus, at the outputs of a conventional MUD detector, each user sees less multiple access interference and enjoys improved performance. One form of multi-user detection known as interference cancellation estimates, reconstructs and subtracts interfering signals out of the received signal. Unlike the traditional CDMA detectors, interference cancellation MUD utilizes information about other users when detecting a single user. One aspect of our invention is the novel combination of these interference cancellation MUD techniques and adaptive minimum cost channel estimation in the reconstruction of signals. This combination improves performance of signal reconstruction including symbol detection accuracy and channel estimation fidelity.

Using this combination, we have demonstrated that the STAP-MUD receiver can operate independently of power control, extracting waveforms that are over 35 dB below the
 20 strongest arriving CDMA signals.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a network diagram illustrating a typical wireless CDMA network.

Fig. 2 is a network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a SIC-MCCE combination
 25 system in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 3 depicts an illustrative conventional detector for the combination of Fig. 2.

Fig. 4 depicts an illustrative respread processor for the combination of Fig. 2.

Fig. 5 depicts an illustrative adaptive temporal filter (ATRF) for the combination of Fig. 2.

Fig. 6 is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operation for the SIC-MCCE combination
 30 system of Fig. 2.

Fig. 7 is a network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a SIC-JMCCE combination system in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 8 is a network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a SIC-MF-MCCE combination system in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 8a is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operation for the SIC-MF-MCCE combination system of Fig. 8.

Fig. 9 is a network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a PIC-MCCE combination system in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 10 is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operation for the PIC-MCCE combination of Fig. 9.

Fig. 11a is a partial network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a PIC-JMCCE combination system comprising an ATRF in each parallel processor in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 11b is a partial network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a PIC-JMCCE combination system comprising a single ATRF processor in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 12 is a network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a STAP receiver in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 13 is a network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a stage in a STAP/V-SIC-MCCE combination system in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 14 is a network diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a J-STAPSIC combination system in accordance with our invention.

Fig. 15 depicts an illustrative J-STAPSIC stage for the combination of Fig. 14.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

I. Interference Cancellation MUD Combined with Adaptive Temporal Channel Estimation

Interference cancellation can take the form of either successive interference cancellation or parallel interference cancellation. Figure 2 depicts one illustrative embodiment of our invention comprising a system 200 combining successive interference cancellation (SIC) and adaptive minimum cost channel estimation (MCCE) for enabling a CDMA receiver to receive signals at different power levels in the presence of interference from other users. We shall refer to this combination as the SIC-MCCE system. The SIC-MCCE system 200 can be implemented as a component within an auxiliary CDMA receiver or within a CDMA base station receiver system.

The illustrative system of Figure 2 comprises a control processor 202 and a plurality of processors 204 combining successive interference cancellation (SIC) multi-user detection and adaptive temporal reconstruction filters (ATRF). The plurality of SIC-ATRF processors 204 are arranged in successive stages. At each stage, the next user is decisioned,

respread, temporally reconstructed, and subtracted out by the SIC-ATRF processor associated with that stage. The output of the SIC-ATRF processor in the first stage, a cleaned received signal, is used as the input to the SIC-ATRF processor in the second stage and the output of the processor in the second stage is used as input to the processor in the next stage. This arrangement is continued for each stage. The number of stages used by the SIC-MCCE system is determined based on the total number of users for the system.

Each SIC-ATRF processor 204 includes a conventional detector 206, a respread processor 208, an adaptive temporal reconstruction filter (ATRF) 210, and a complex mathematical processor 212. The conventional detector 210 is connected to the respread processor 208 and the mathematical operations processor 212 of the SIC-ATRF processor in the previous stage. For the SIC-ATRF processor 204 in the first stage, the conventional detector 210 is connected to an external entity providing a processed version of the received signal $r(t)$ and to the respread processor 208. The respread processor 208 is in turn connected to the ATRF 210, which is connected to the mathematical operations processor 212. The output of the mathematical operations processor 212 is connected to the conventional detector 208 of the SIC-ATRF processor of the next stage and the mathematical operations processor 212 of the next stage. For the SIC-ATRF processor 204 in the first stage, the mathematical operations processor 212 is connected to the external entity providing a processed version of the received signal $r(t)$ instead of the mathematical operations processor 212 of a previous stage.

The exact format of the conventional detector and respread processor will differ based on the modulation, coding, and spreading schemes of the particular CDMA system utilized in the wireless receiver system. Although the conventional detector and respread processor can be designed based on third generation CDMA, CDMA2000, or W-CDMA technology, figures 3 and 4 are block diagrams of the conventional detector 206 and respread processor 208 of the embodiment of Figure 2 according to an illustrative IS-95 implementation. In this implementation, the conventional detector can be an IS-95 conventional detector or an IS-95 rake conventional detector.

The IS-95 conventional detector 206, shown in Figure 3, is a fundamental component of standard IS-95 receivers. The IS-95 conventional detector 206 comprises three parts: a short code despreader 31, a long code despreader 32, and a 64-ary matched filter bank 33. The short code despreader 31 separately multiplies the received signal by the real and imaginary components of the IS-95 short code, denoted by $p_i(t)$ and $p_q(t)$. The delays of

these components are adjusted to match the offset in time of the intended received signal. Next, the resulting despread signals are recombined, using time delays as illustrated in figure 3, and multiplied by a local copy of the long code, $p_l(t)$ corresponding to the desired user in the long code despreader 32. The long code is also offset according to the expected delay of the arriving signal. The resulting signal is used as input to a 64-ary matched filter bank 33. The 64-ary matched filter bank 33 contains copies of each of the 64 possible Walsh symbols that could be transmitted during a symbol period. The 64 outputs of the matched filter bank contain the squares of the absolute values of the inner products between the signal at the matched filter bank input and each of these 64 potential symbols. This process may be equally accomplished using a Walsh-Hadamard transform. When the IS-95 conventional detector is used alone, the matched filter bank output with the largest value determines the receiver's estimate of the transmitted symbol during a particular symbol period.

The IS-95 conventional rake detector, a standard technique employed in practice, embodies several instantiations of the IS-95 conventional detector. Each detector uses the same long and short code, however a different delay is applied to each constituent IS-95 conventional detection. The delays correspond to different multipath components, so that a different IS-95 conventional detector tracks each significant multipath component. The outputs from the 64-ary matched filter banks of each of the IS-95 conventional detectors are combined in the IS-95 rake conventional detector using a non-coherent combining technique. Several non-coherent combining techniques are available; however, a simple example is the equal –gain combiner, in which the power from the corresponding ports from each of the 64 matched filter bank outputs in the constituent IS-95 conventional detectors are added, resulting in 64 new variables. These variables are compared, and the one with the largest power is selected as the receiver's estimate of the transmitted symbol from a 64-ary alphabet.

The respread processor 208, shown in Figure 4, is used as a fundamental component of IS-95 receivers employing interference cancellation. The respread processor 208 uses as inputs the symbol decisions obtained from either the IS-95 conventional detector, the IS-95 conventional rake detector, or the IS-95 STAP detector, or other similar sources. The respread processor creates a symbol from the 64-ary alphabet corresponding to the selected symbol. Next the symbol is spread using the IS-95 long code, $p_l(t)$, then the result is spread using the complex short code using the offset quadrature method specified in the IS-95 standard. The respread processor then matches the resulting signal to the signal

received from the antenna using minimum mean square error techniques.

Figure 5 is a block diagram of the ATRF 210 of the embodiment of Figure 2. The ATRF 210 comprises tap weights 62, a tap delay line 61, and a mathematical summing circuit 63. In addition, the ATRF has an MCCE weight update processor 64. This processor may be located within the ATRF or as a separate entity between the mathematical operations processor 212 and the ATRF 210 as shown in Figure 6. The tap weights contain the amplitude, phase, and multipath structure of the received signal for the k th user. The length of the ATRF should be at least as long as the transmit filter (e.g., for IS-95 the transmit filter is 12 chips in duration), and ideally should be long enough to accommodate the delay spread of the signal (to recreate all multipath components).

Figure 6 shows a flow diagram of the operation of the system 200 of our invention. After initial processing such as downconversion to baseband is performed on the received signal by an external entity, the control processor 202 orders the user signals according to a pre-defined methodology (step 605). The user signals are then assigned to a stage based on the ordering. For example, the signal for user A is assigned to the first stage; the signal for user B is assigned to the second stage; and the signal for user k is assigned to the k^{th} stage.

An illustrative methodology ranks signals in descending order of received powers. An advantage of this methodology is that by canceling the strongest users first, the remaining users receive the largest benefit from MAI reduction. In alternative methodology, the control processor identifies signals above a certain threshold without performing a hard ranking of each signal.

Based on the ordering, the control processor 202 communicates a separate user code to the conventional detector 206 in each stage of the system (step 610). For example, the first stage receives the user code associated with user A. In the first stage of the system 200, the conventional detector 206 despreads the received signal and estimates the symbol transmitted for the identified user, $\hat{w}_1(t)$ (step 620). The technique used in the IS-95 conventional detector and IS-95 rake conventional detector is discussed above.

In step 630, the symbol estimate generated by the conventional detector 206 is mixed with the user codes in the respread processor 208 to generate a scaled estimate of the transmitted signal for the user. Using the scaled estimate as input, the ATRF 210 estimates the channel for the user, (i.e., the multipath components and their associated amplitudes and phases) and reconstructs the signal interference associated with the user signal (step 640). The reconstructed signal for the user is then cancelled from the total received signal $r(t)$ in the mathematical operations processor 212 (step 650). The output of the

mathematical operations processor 212 is then input to the SIC-ATRF processor 203 in the next stage of SIC-MCCE system 200. The output is also fed back to the MCCE weight update processor 64. Steps 620 through 650 are successively repeated for each of the k stages.

- 5 A more detailed description of the basic SIC-MCCE channel estimation and reconstruction performed in the ATRF 210 is described below. In a preferred embodiment, the adaptive technique used for channel estimation is based on minimum cost estimation techniques.

In basic SIC-MCCE channel estimation (step 640 in Figure 6), the MCCE weight update processor 64 determines the adaptive filter tap weights 62 that minimize a pre-determined cost function between the received signal and the output of the adaptive filter. In an illustrative mode of operation, the MCCE weight update processor functions as follows. The output of the jth stage is given by:

$$r^{(j)}(lT_s) = r(lT_s) - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w_{k,n} \hat{s}_k((l-n)T_s)$$

this is expressed in vector form as:

$$\mathbf{r}_l^{(j)} = \mathbf{r}_l - \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{B}_l^H$$

where \mathbf{r}_l is the vector of received signals at time index l, and samples of the reconstructed waveform are contained in the vector:

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k,n} = [\hat{s}_k(nT_s) \quad \hat{s}_k((n+1)T_s) \quad \dots \quad \hat{s}_k((n+Q-1)T_s)]$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{k,l} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{s}_{k,l} \\ \hat{s}_{k,l-1} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{s}_{k,l-N+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{B}_l^H = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{0,l} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{j-1,l} \end{bmatrix}$$

Different weight vectors can be obtained by using minimizing different cost functions, each of which represents the quality of the performance of the SIC stage in some manner. One implementation of the minimum cost channel estimate solution is the minimum mean square error solution. The minimum mean square error solution for the weight vector w is the solution that minimizes the following cost function:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = |\mathbf{r}_l^{(j)}|^2 = |\mathbf{r}_l - \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{B}_l^H|^2$$

which simultaneously minimizes both the residual at the output of the jth stage of the SIC receiver and the difference between the ATRF filter output and the received data r_l . The

solution to this problem is obtained using standard techniques, where we obtain:

$$\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{B}_l^H \mathbf{B}_l) \mathbf{B}_l^H \mathbf{r}_l^H$$

Since this solution minimizes the mean square error between the ATRF filter output and the received data at this input to the stage, this is called the minimum mean square error (MMSE) solution. In an alternate illustrative embodiment of our invention, the channel is estimated jointly over multiple users. We will refer to this combination of a jointly optimized ATRF and SIC multi-user detection as the SIC-JMCCE system. An illustrative multi-stage SIC-JMCCE system is shown in Figure 7. The SIC-JMCCE system 700 comprises a similar structure as the SIC-MCCE system. However, in the SIC-JMCCE system 700, the outputs of the respread processors 208 for all previous stages are communicated as inputs to the ATRF filter 710 of the current stage. For example, the SIC-ATRF processor in the third stage of a SIC-JMCCE system uses the output of the first stage respread processor and the second stage respread processor as inputs to the third stage ATRF.

The mode of operation in accordance with the SIC-JMCCE system is as described above for the SIC-MCCE system, Figure 6. However, the channel estimation step 640 is modified to estimate the channel over multiple users. During channel estimation, the tap weights 62 of the current stage ATRF 710 are determined by jointly minimizing the cost function between the received signal and the sum of the outputs of the ATRFs 710 of previously completed stages. The ATRF 710 for each stage jointly estimates and reconstructs all of the currently detected signals including those detected in previous stages of SIC-JMCCE. Thus, the symbols of a single user are detected in each stage (step 620), but the temporal signal structures of all previous detected users are re-estimated and cancelled at each stage. At step 650, the output of the current stage ATRF 710 consisting of all the currently detected signals is subtracted from the received signal in the mathematical operations processor 212.

The above approaches to channel estimation in accordance with our invention reconstruct the temporal structure of the signals. However, these approaches do not take into account the frequency content of the signals. In another illustrative embodiment, the ATRF is extended to take into account Doppler spread. We refer to this combination of SIC multi-user detection and multiple frequency adaptive reconstruction as a SIC-MF-MCCE system. The MF-MCCE ATRF can be implemented either in an independent or joint arrangement. An independent MF-MCCE ATRF is shown in Figure 8. In this arrangement, a frequency shift processor 814 is connected between the respread processor 208 and the ATRF 810 in each stage of the system.

The mode of operation in accordance with the independent SIC-MF-MCCE system is shown in Figure 8a. In this mode, steps 605 through 630 are identical to those described for the SIC-MCCE and SIC-JMCCE systems. However, an additional step (step 835) is added to shift the frequency of the signal output from the respread processor to take into account Doppler spread and un-compensated frequency tracking errors. The output of the frequency shift processor 814 is then used as input to the MCCE weight update processor 64. At step 840, the ATRF 810 estimates the channel using either the basic or joint technique previously discussed. The following is a more detailed description of the operation of the MF-MCCE ATRF in accordance with a preferred embodiment of our invention. $\hat{s}_{k,p,n}$ represents a row vector containing Q samples of the reconstructed signal for user k , from time nT_s to $(n+Q-1)T_s$, and frequency shifted by $(p - P/2)/(QT_s)$ Hz:

$$\hat{s}_{k,p,n} = \left[\hat{s}_k(nT_s) e^{j2\pi \left(p - \frac{P}{2} \right) \frac{n}{Q}} \hat{s}_k((n+1)T_s) e^{j2\pi \left(p - \frac{P}{2} \right) \frac{(n+1)}{Q}} \dots \hat{s}_k((n+Q-1)T_s) e^{j2\pi \left(p - \frac{P}{2} \right) \frac{(n+Q-1)}{Q}} \right]$$

r_l represents a row vector containing the Q samples of the received signal, $r(nT_s)$ through $r((n+Q-1)T_s)$. Then at stage j , the cleaned signal is:

$$r_l^{(j)} = r_l - w^H B_l$$

$$\text{where } B_l = \begin{bmatrix} A_{0,l} \\ \vdots \\ A_{l-1,l} \end{bmatrix}, A_{k,l} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{s}_{k,0,l} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{s}_{k,P-1,l} \end{bmatrix}, \hat{s}_{k,p,l} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{s}_{k,p,l+N/2} \\ \hat{s}_{k,p,l+N/2-1} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{s}_{k,p,l+N/2} + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using these equations, the MF-MCCE ATRF 810 determines the filter tap weight vector that minimizes the cost function set for the ATRF. For example, where a minimum mean square error cost function is used, the ATRF 810 determines the weight vector according to the following equations.

$$J(w) = \|r_l - w^H B_l\|^2$$

which gives:

$$w = (B_l B_l^H)^{-1} B_l^H r_l$$

The MF-MCCE ATRF 810 applies this weight vector to the delayed and frequency shifted version of the signal received from the previous stage (or the antenna input if this is the first stage).

The SIC detection approach is particularly attractive where there is a wide range in received powers (e.g., due to lack of power control). The SIC approach exploits the power distribution by canceling based on signal strength ordering. For applications where signals

are received at about the same power (e.g., through power control), the PIC approach is often preferable.

The combination of interference cancellation and ATRF channel estimation can also be extended to parallel interference cancellation techniques. Figure 9 depicts one stage of a system 900 combining parallel interference cancellation (PIC) and adaptive minimum cost channel estimation (MCCE) according to a further specific illustrative embodiment of our invention. We shall refer to this system as a PIC-MCCE system. In the PIC-MCCE system 900, rather than detecting one additional user at each stage of the detector as in the SIC-MCCE system 200, every user is detected anew at each stage.

The PIC-MCCE system 900 includes a plurality of parallel processors 905. The number of processors can vary but is typically determined by the number of users associated with the system. Each processor is comprised of a conventional detector 206, a respread processor 208 and an ATRF 910. The conventional detector 206 in each parallel processor 905 is connected to a respread processor 208 and to a single external entity that communicates the received signal $r(t)$ as input to the conventional detector. The ATRF 910 in each parallel processor 905 is connected between a respread processor 208 and a series 913 of mathematical operations processors 212. Alternatively, a partial summer circuit could be substituted for the series of mathematical operations processors. The series of mathematical operations processors 913 (or alternatively the partial summer circuit) is connected to the ATRF 210 in every parallel processor 905 and to the external entity providing the received signal.

The conventional detector 206 and the respread processor 208 are identical to the conventional detector 206 and respread processor 208 used in the SIC-MCCE embodiment. In addition, a control processor 902 could optionally be included to provide ordering of the signals prior to processing by the PIC-MCCE system.

Figure 10 shows a flow diagram of the operation of each processor 905 of the embodiment of Figure 9. After initial processing such as downconversion to baseband is performed on the received signal by an external entity, the received signal is sent in parallel to each of the processors 905 in the first stage of the PIC-MCCE system. The conventional detector 206 in each processor 905 determines the initial symbol decision estimate for the user assigned to that processor (step 1010). In each processor 905, the initial symbol estimate is communicated to the respread processor 208. The respread processor 208 generates a scaled estimate of the transmitted signal waveform for the user (step 1020). After resampling, each user is temporally reconstructed in the ATRF 910 (step 1030).

The outputs from the ATRF 910 in each processor are sent in parallel to the series of mathematical operations processors 913 (or alternatively to the partial summer). The mathematical operations processors 212 sum up all signals but one for each output, thus, forming an estimate of the interference for each user (step 1040). This interference estimate is then subtracted out of the received signal (step 1050). This process can be repeated for multiple PIC stages until the signal converges. At each stage, different numbers of users are successfully detected. Typically, as the number of stages increases, the number of users successfully detected increases, although oscillatory conditions can also occur. We define convergence as occurring at the stage after which no substantial increase is obtained in the number of successfully detected stages. The number of repetitions can be fixed or under dynamic control. Due to the computational complexity of repeating the PIC-MCCE stages, a preferred implementation defines the optimal number of repetitions.

A first approach to channel estimation in the PIC structure is the same as described above for basic SIC-MCCE channel estimation. A joint MCCE channel estimation approach, described above for the SIC-JMCCE system, can also be applied to the parallel structure. We refer to this system as PIC-JMCCE.

A partial PIC-JMCCE system is shown in Figure 11A according to an illustrative embodiment of our invention. In this embodiment, each processor 905 of the PIC-JMCCE system has an individual ATRF 911. In a system with k users, each ATRF 911 receives k input signals, one from each of the respread processors 208 in the other parallel processors 905. Each ATRF 911 processes the signals as described above for step 544 of SIC-JMCCE processing.

An alternative embodiment of the PIC-JMCCE system is shown in Figure 11B, having a single ATRF 912. In this embodiment, each processor 905 has a conventional detector 206 and a respread processor 208. The output of the respread processor 208 in every parallel processor 905 is communicated as input to the single ATRF 912. In the PIC-JMCCE receiver, since the channels are estimated simultaneously for all successfully detected signals, only a single ATRF module is needed at each state, however, this ATRF module produces channel estimates for all signals. After reconstruction, the ATRF outputs the signal interference associated with each user to the series of mathematical operations processors 913.

A third approach to channel estimation, PIC-MF-MCCE, extends the ATRF to account for Doppler spread. This approach is identical to the approach described above for SIC-MF-

MCCE. In the PIC-MF-MCCE arrangement, a frequency shift processor 814 is connected between the respread processor 208 and the ATRF 810 in each parallel processor 905 of the system.

The above embodiment assumes that all signals are used in the PIC-MCCE system at each stage. This condition can be relaxed to include groups of signals at each stage. For example, a control processor could be used to order the received signals in groups of similar power and successively detect groups of users in parallel. Similarly, the PIC-JMCCE system need not include all previously detected signals at each stage, but possibly, some subset of them.

II. Application of STAP to Systems Without a Pilot Reference Signal

Through the use of space time adaptive processing (STAP), a receiver is able to spatially separate user signals using array (smart antenna) receiver technology. This feature allows a STAP receiver to place spatial beam pattern nulls on strong interferers. In addition, the STAP receiver combines multipath energy, including both the resolvable multipath that is captured by a rake receiver, as well as unresolved multipath that the rake receiver cannot effectively exploit.

A single user space time adaptive processing (STAP) receiver is depicted in Figure 12 in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of our invention. The STAP receiver 1200 includes a plurality of filters 1250, one per antenna, in a parallel arrangement, a mathematical summation processor 1270 for combining the outputs of all the filters prior to detection, a conventional detector 206, a respread processor 208, mathematical operations processor 212, and an MCCE weight update processor 64. The receiver in Figure 12 also can include implementations with a one time tap per antenna (spatial adaptive signal processing) or with a single antenna element and multiple time taps (single element adaptive rake receiver). Each filter 1250 contains a tap delay line 1252, a series of STAP weights 1254, and a summation processor 1256. In a traditional STAP receiver, the STAP weights in the filter 1250 can be trained using a known pilot signal. However, a key complication in applying STAP to the IS-95 reverse link is that there is no pilot present in the received signal. Our invention provides innovative processes for blind adaptation where no pilot signal exists to train the filter weight.

An illustrative embodiment of our invention comprises a space time adaptive processing (STAP) processor, means for hypothesizing possible symbols transmitted during a symbol period, a respread processor, means for weight computation wherein the

hypothesized symbol and the vector input symbol are used to form a set of STAP weights which filter the input data spatially and temporally, a matched filter bank, means for determining a metric to measure the quality of the matched filter bank, and means for comparing generated metrics. The STAP processor includes a plurality of filters, each comprising a set of STAP weights, and a plurality of mathematical summation circuits. In addition, each filter may also include a tapped delay line. In a preferred IS-95 implementation, the matched filter bank is a bank of 64 matched filters that correspond to the 64 possible Walsh symbols.

When a user signal is received by the antenna array, the user signal from each antenna in the array is first downconverted to baseband in a processor (not shown) and sampled. Downconversion and sampling are performed by an external processor. After the resulting signal $r_1(t)$, $r_2(t)$, ... $r_M(t)$ is received, a metric is determined associated with a hypothesized symbol value. The metric used may also be referred to as the sharpness factor. The step of determining a metric is repeated for each of the possible 64 Walsh symbols. The resulting 64 metrics are compared in the comparison means to determine the best estimate for the transmitted signal. This estimate is the output of the blind adaptive STAP detector.

A more detailed description of the metric determination step is described below. After the input signal vector is received, the hypothesizing means hypothesizes which symbol was transmitted. The hypothesized symbol is communicated to the respread processor and spread to create a replica of the transmitted waveform. The replica of the transmitted waveform and the input signal vector are input to the weight computation means. The weight computation means uses these inputs to determine the appropriate STAP weights for the STAP filters. After the determination is made, these STAP weights are communicated to the filters and applied to each signal vector component, $r_1(t)$, $r_2(t)$, ... $r_M(t)$. Before application of the STAP weights, a tapped delay line may be applied to each component of the input signal vector. After application of the STAP weights, the weighted signals from every antenna are combined in a mathematical summation circuit. The output of the summation circuit is despread and input into the matched filter bank. The matched filter bank generates a metric associated with the hypothesized symbol.

In an alternate embodiment, the STAP processor may despread the delayed signals from each antenna element and then apply the STAP weights. After the STAP weights are applied, the results are summed and used as input to the matched filter bank.

For example in IS-95, the sharpness factor is computed by taking the ratio of the peak output (i.e., for the most likely transmitted symbol) to the sum of the outputs for all the other

63 hypothesized Walsh symbols. The sharpness factor can also be based on the distance between the peak output and the average of all other outputs. In either case the STAP solution with the largest sharpness factor is chosen to determine the correctly hypothesized symbol. This embodiment can be extended across multiple symbols where we hypothesize all combinations of multiple symbols.

In an alternative embodiment, the STAP filter weights are determined based on a combination of "known" symbols and hypothesized symbols. The known symbols may be obtained by feeding back previously detected symbols, or from a priori known pilot reference symbols. Utilizing the known symbols allows extension of the length of the training sequence without requiring additional hypothesized symbols. It also anchors the hypothesized STAP solutions to a partially known training sequence, which makes it more likely that the correctly hypothesized solution will stand out. The above embodiments can be repeated for each symbol. These procedures can also be utilized to detect initial symbol(s), and then utilize an update procedure to compute the STAP weights for the remaining symbols. In other words, the STAP weights of the previous symbol can be used to detect the current symbol which can then in turn be used to update the STAP tap weights for the next symbol.

III. Combined STAP and MUD

The STAP receiver shown in Figure 12 is limited in several ways. First, it can only effectively null $M-1$ high level signals (including temporally resolvable multipath components) where M is the number of antennas used. Therefore, it is only effective at extracting the M strongest signal components. Another embodiment of our invention combines MUD and temporal interference cancellation techniques and thus, removes much of the interfering signals before applying the STAP receiver. This approach frees up STAP degrees of freedom to operate on the remaining interference more effectively.

Figure 13 depicts a single stage of a system 1300 combining STAP, interference cancellation MUD, and minimum cost channel estimation (MCCE) according to a specific illustrative embodiment of our invention. The illustrative embodiment of our invention shown in Figure 13 applies SIC (e.g., SIC-MCCE or SIC-JMCCE) to each antenna element separately. We shall refer to this system as the STAP/VSIC system where the V refers to the vector nature of the cancellation process. The multi-stage STAP/VSIC receivers resemble the multi-stage SIC receivers of figure 2, 4, and 5, except that the received signal and cleaned received signals are now vectors of size M .

A single stage of the STAP/VSIC system includes a STAP processor 1200, a plurality of

ATRFs 1210, and a plurality of mathematical operations processors 212. The STAP processor can be a standard STAP processor or a blind adaptive STAP processor. In an illustrative embodiment of our invention, the STAP processor includes a plurality of filters 1250, a mathematical operations processor, and a conventional detector. In an alternate
 5 embodiment, the STAP processor may include a respread processor and may also include a MCCE weight update processor.

When a user signal is received by the antenna array, the user signal from each antenna in the array is first downconverted to baseband in a processor (not shown) and sampled. For each antenna, the resulting signal, $r_1(t)$, $r_2(t)$, ... $r_M(t)$, is communicated to the STAP processor 1200. After processing by the STAP filters, conventional detector, and respread processor as described in the embodiments above, the output of the respread processor, a vector estimate of the transmitted signal for the user, is communicated to the ATRFs 1210, one per antenna. Each ATRF 1210 then estimates the channel associated with the signal and reconstructs the signal interference. The methods used for channel estimation in the STAP/VSIC system can be either basic MCCE, JMCCE, or MF-MCCE techniques. Each
 15 reconstructed signal is then cancelled from the total received input for that antenna in a mathematical processor 212. The output of the plurality of mathematical processors, one per antenna, is then used as the vector input to the next STAP/VSIC stage.

The STAP/VSIC system approach can also be extended to vectorized parallel
 20 interference cancellation. We shall refer to this system as the STAP/VPIC system. In these embodiments, the system would take the form of the PIC detector shown in Figure 9 with the conventional detector replaced by the one of the above described embodiments of a STAP processor.

Another embodiment of our invention combines STAP with interference cancellation
 25 techniques. In this embodiment, the system jointly solves for the ATRF tap weights and STAP tap weights. For example, the system minimizes the error associated with the cost function between the transmitted symbol replica and the sum of the STAP filter outputs and ATRF filter outputs. Figure 14 depicts one illustrative embodiment of our invention. We shall refer to this system as the J-STAPSIC system.

The illustrative system of Figure 14 comprises a plurality of J-STAPSIC processors
 30 arranged in successive stages 1404. The input to the J-STAPSIC system 1400 is a vector of size M where M is equivalent to one received signal stream for each antenna element). Each stage utilizes the symbols of all previously detected users, and detects one additional user's symbols. The number of stages, K, is equivalent to the total number of users

associated with the system.

An illustrative embodiment of a k^{th} J-STAPSIC stage 1404 is shown in Figure 15. Each J-STAPSIC stage comprises a plurality of STAP filters 1252, one per antenna, in a parallel arrangement, a plurality of respread processors, one per previous stage, in a parallel arrangement for receiving the symbol estimates from the previous J-STAPSIC stages, a
 5 plurality of ATRF filters 1410, one per previous stage, a mathematical summation circuit 1414 for summing the outputs of the plurality of STAP filters, a mathematical summation circuit 1414 for summing the outputs of the plurality of ATRF filters, a mathematical operations processor 212 for adding the outputs of the mathematical summation circuits 1414, a conventional detector 206, and a respread processor 208.

In the k^{th} stage, the plurality of STAP filters 1252 receive a cleaned vector received signal, $r_1(t)$, $r_2(t)$, ... $r_M(t)$ from the previous stage and the plurality of parallel respread processors receive a vector comprising symbol estimates determined in the previous stage. In each parallel respread processor, the symbol estimates are spread. The mathematical summation circuit 1414 sums the outputs from the plurality of the STAP filters and another mathematical summation circuit sums the outputs from the plurality of ATRF filters. The outputs of these summation circuits are then combined in a mathematical operations circuit 212. Using the output of the mathematical operations circuit 212, the conventional detector despreads the input and estimates the symbol transmitted. The symbol estimate is then
 10 spread by the respread processor. The output of the respread processor is combined with the output of the conventional detector and is used as input to an MCCE weight update processor. The MCCE weight update processor then updates in parallel the tap weights of the plurality of STAP and ATRF filters.

Although the invention has been shown and described with respect to exemplary
 15 embodiments thereof, it should be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes, omissions and additions may be therein and thereto, without departing from the spirit and the scope of the invention.